**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1490 OF 2005**

Nazim Sayyed Umar ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr ...Respondents

.....

Mr. Sudhakar Shetty, Advocate for Petitioner

Mr. Rajesh More, A.P.P. for State.

.....

**CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.**

**DATED: 5TH JULY, 2005**

**P. C.:-**

1. Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for quashing of process issued against him in Criminal Case No.692/SS/2004 which is pending in the Court of learned Metropolitan Magistrate, 49th Court, Vikhroli, Mumbai. In the said case, process has been issued against the petitioner under Section 323 and 504 of I.P.C.

3. For the reasons recorded in my order dated 13th June, 2005 passed in Criminal Writ Petition No.213 of 2005 and order dated 21st June, 2005 passed in Criminal Application No.550 of 2005, the petitioner

has an efficacious remedy of preferring revision against the order of Magistrate issuing process. Hence, in my view, it would be appropriate that the petitioner prefers revision before the concerned Sessions Court against the order of the Magistrate issuing process.

4. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to prefer revision before the concerned Sessions Court. The learned advocate for the petitioner states that the necessary revision would be preferred within a period of three weeks from today. In view of this statement, the trial Court shall not proceed with the trial for a period of three weeks from today.

5. On the necessary revision being preferred by the petitioner before the concerned Sessions Court, the concerned Sessions Court shall dispose of the same on merits after hearing necessary parties.

6. Criminal writ petition is disposed of.

*****